BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

STATE OF GEORGIA

:

IN RE: Agreed-Upon Procedures Report Docket No. 32235

:

STATE OF GEORGIA,

TAYLOR COUNTY:

AFFIDAVIT

Personally came and appeared before me, the undersigned Notary, the within named James L. Bond, who is a resident of Bibb County, State of Georgia, and makes this his statement and Affidavit upon oath and affirmation of belief and personal knowledge that the following matters, fact and things set forth below are true and correct to the best of his knowledge.

Public Service Telephone Company submits herewith its response to the UAF Agreed-Upon Procedures Report pursuant to the requirements in Docket No. 32235 in compliance with Georgia Public Service Commission Utility Rule 515-3-1-11. Said filing includes Company operational and financial data which the Company designates as trade secret and protected information as those terms are defined and used in O.C.G A §10-1-761(4).

The information derives economic value to the Company and to the Company's customers from not being generally known to others. Public Service Telephone Company submits the data as highly confidential and competitively sensitive. Accordingly, consistent with the Company's own interests, and the interests of its customers, the Company protects against disclosure of this information.

James L. Bond
President

Sworn to and subscribed before me, the Undersigned Notary Public, the 26th day of May 2026.

Notary Public
My Comission expires 10-15-2028

KERI MASON NOTARY PUBLIC TAYLOR COUNTY, GEORGIA MY COMMISSION EXPIRES OCTOBER 15, 2028

HURLBERT CPA
regulatory audit services

Public Service Telephone Company

Agreed-Upon Procedures Applied to the Universal Access Fund Application for the period ending 6/30/2025

May 26, 2026

P.O. Box 615 | Liberty, MO 64069 p 816.309.2682 f 816.429.6789 info@hurlbertcpa.com www.hurlbertcpa.com

Independent Accountant's Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures

PUBLIC SERVICE TELEPHONE COMPANY

To the Georgia Public Service Commission:

We have performed the procedures enumerated below on the application for funding from the Georgia Universal Access Fund provided to us by management of Public Service Telephone Company (or the "Company"). The responsible party Public Service Telephone Company is responsible for the application.

The engaging party, Georgia Public Service Commission ("GPSC") has agreed to and acknowledged that the procedures performed are appropriate to meet the intended purpose of assessing the application's reasonableness prior to funds being distributed to Public Service Telephone Company as of June 30, 2025. This report may not be suitable for any other purpose. The procedures performed may not address all the items of interest to a user of this report and may not meet the needs of all users of this report and, as such, users are responsible for determining whether the procedures performed are appropriate for their purposes.

The procedures are listed below with associated findings listed on the following pages.

AUP	Agreed-Upon Procedures
1	Obtain UAF company pre-filed information and schedules filed with the GPSC
2	Issue specific Contractor data requests to the UAF Companies and schedule field work
3	Audit the Company's UAF model (printed version as well as spreadsheet testing)
4	Trace amounts on the Applicant's Form M back to Company prepared schedules matching their General Ledger
5	Trace Form M data to the UAF Model column entitled Balance Per Books
6	Trace amounts in the "subject to separations" adjustment column of the UAF Model to Net Operating Income (NOI) and Rate of Return (ROR) on rate base calculations
7	Trace amounts in the "non-regulated" adjustment column of UAF Model to supporting journal entries of cost study. Selected supporting work papers are reviewed for reasonableness, accuracy, and conformance.
8	Test the FCC Part 36 study and review allocation/traffic factors
9	Test and recalculate the Revenue Requirement Section on the UAF Model
10	Review each invoice over $250 and select those invoices that appear unreasonable
11	Review corporate allocations and the Company's Cost Allocation Manual

12 Verify fixed asset amounts in the Company's Continuing Property Record and identify those assets that are inappropriately included in rate base in the UAF application. If applicant is a recipient of Federal or state Broadband Grants, determine whether the grants were to be used as revenue, expense offsets, or for capital acquisitions. To the extent such grants are/were used for capital acquisition, review books to ensure that such purchased assets are excluded from the rate base for UAF purposes

13 Verify the amounts of Federal universal service fund support reported in the UAF Application. Review UAF Applicant work

14 Verify that any long-term debt interest costs treated as AFUDC (Allowance for Funds Used During Construction) were treated either as current year income or as a reduction to interest rates

15 Verify that any Patronage Dividends credited (not necessarily received in cash) from long term lenders are treated as a reduction to the cost of debt

16 Review the outside auditor's report on the financial statements and report any adverse findings or qualified opinions

17 Review and test the requested amount for Executive Compensation and Corporate Operations Expense and compared the amount shown in the UAF application to the GPSC caps

18 Review depreciation rates used for the UAF application and compare to the rates adopted by the GPSC

19 Review cost allocation manual, verify that it is being used, test a sample of allocations and report when the manual was last updated

20 Incorporate revised factors or methods adopted by GPSC, such as cost of equity, into the UAF model

21 Prepare exhibits of financial results and support mechanisms. Analyze as to why increases/decreases occurred

22 Identify Construction Work in Progress and Retirement amounts for the test year

23 Identify the Company's affiliates and non-regulated services

24 Identify the total costs charged to the regulated company by the affiliate or parent company. Quantified costs by FCC USOA Account and determined the per access line amount over the past 3 years. If the costs appear unreasonable, propose adjustments or informed GPSC staff and recommend an affiliated transactions engagement be performed

25 For ACAM UAF companies only, obtain the Company spreadsheet depicting calculated amounts, review Company prepared adjustments, trace adjustments in the UAF application model to the spreadsheet and identify impact of the adjustment

26 Test and review the Approved Cost of Equity and Cost of Capital Rates of Tier II local exchange carriers for the purpose of evaluating applications for reimbursement from the UAF. The rate of return on rate base will be limited to the lesser of 8.0% or the level computed based on a 9.25% return on equity, using the applicant's actual cost of debt and the applicant's actual debt/equity structure. Verify that the above calculation was used in the respective UAF Model

27 Finalize work papers and prepare final report

28 Prepare testimony if requested.

We were engaged by the GPSC to perform this agreed-upon procedures engagement and conducted our engagement in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. We were not engaged to and did not conduct and examination or review engagement, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion or conclusion, respectively, on the application. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion or conclusion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

We are required to be independent of Public Service Telephone Company and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with relevant ethical requirements related to our agreed-upon procedures engagement. This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Georgia Public Service Commission and Public Service Telephone Company and is not intended to be, and should not be, used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Vic Hurlbert, CPA
Hurlbert CPA LLC
Liberty, Missouri
May 26, 2026

Current Request for UAF Disbursements – Summary of Findings

Public Service Telephone Company's final revenue deficiency is [redacted] We have no proposed adjustments.

Table 1
Public Service Telephone Company

Georgia UAF Summary	Amounts
Original Company Requested Amount from Georgia Universal Access Fund	[redacted]
Total Adjustments	[redacted]
Final Amount Recommended by Staff	[redacted]

As presented in Table 1, we propose Public Service Telephone Company receive a UAF disbursement of [redacted] based upon the test year for the twelve months ending June 30, 2025.

Profile of Public Service Telephone Company

Public Service Telephone Company is located in Reynolds, Georgia and provides telephone service to approximately [redacted] customers in seven exchanges throughout central Georgia.

Agreed-Upon Procedures

Procedure 1: Obtained UAF company pre-filed information and schedules filed with the GPSC.

Conclusion – We reviewed pre-filed files downloaded from the GPSC web site. There were no discrepancies noted.

Procedure 2: Issued specific Contractor data requests to the UAF Companies and scheduled field work.

Conclusion – Data requests were sent to the Company and the Company responded to all data requests.

Procedure 3: Audited the Company's UAF model (printed version as well as spreadsheet testing).

Conclusion – There were no discrepancies noted.

Procedure 4: Traced amounts on the Applicant's Form M back to Company prepared schedules matching their General Ledger.

Conclusion – There were no discrepancies noted.

Procedure 5: Traced Form M data to the UAF Model column entitled Balance Per Books

Conclusion – There were no discrepancies noted.

Procedure 6: Traced amounts in the "subject to separations" adjustment column of the UAF Model to Net Operating Income (NOI) and Rate of Return (ROR) on rate base calculations.

Conclusion – There were no discrepancies noted.

Procedure 7: Traced amounts in the "non-regulated" adjustment column of UAF Model to supporting journal entries of cost study. Selected supporting work papers are reviewed for reasonableness, accuracy, and conformance.

Conclusion – There were no discrepancies noted.

Procedure 8 Tested the FCC Part 36 study and review allocation/traffic factors.

Conclusion – The factors appeared reasonable.

Procedure 9: Tested and recalculated the Revenue Requirement Section on the UAF Model.

Conclusion – There were no discrepancies noted.

Procedure 10: Reviewed each invoice over $250 and select those invoices that appear unreasonable.

Conclusion – We found unreasonable invoices in Account 6700 – Corporate Operations in the amount [redacted], however, the FCC Corporate Operations Cap showed a deduction of [redacted] Thus, no adjustment is proposed.

Procedure 11: Reviewed corporate allocations and the Company's Cost Allocation Manual

Conclusion – There were no discrepancies noted.

Procedure 12 Verified fixed asset amounts in the Company's Continuing Property Record and identify those assets that are inappropriately included in rate base in the UAF application. If applicant is a recipient of Federal or state Broadband Grants, determined whether the grants were to be used as revenue, expense offsets, or for capital acquisitions. To the extent such grants are/were used for capital acquisition, review books to ensure that such purchased assets are excluded from the rate base for UAF purposes.

Conclusion – There were no discrepancies noted. No Broadband grants were requested or received.

Procedure 13 Verified the amounts of Federal universal service fund support reported in the UAF Application. Review UAF Applicant work.

Conclusion – There were no discrepancies noted.

Procedure 14: Verified that any long-term debt interest costs treated as AFUDC (Allowance for Funds Used During Construction) were treated either as current year income or as a reduction to interest rates.

Conclusion – Public Service used the Revenue Offset Method to reflect AFUCDC. No discrepancies were noted.

Procedure 15: Verified that any Patronage Dividends credited (not necessarily received in cash) from long term lenders are treated as a reduction to the cost of debt.

Conclusion – Not applicable.

Procedure 16: Reviewed the outside auditor's report on the financial statements and report any adverse findings or qualified opinions.

Conclusion – The Company's outside auditor's 2025 report provided an unqualified opinion.

Procedure 17: Reviewed and tested the requested amount for Executive Compensation and Corporate Operations Expense and compared the amount shown in the UAF application to the GPSC caps.

Finding – In an answer to a data request, the Company responded as follows.

The Company has no Executive Salaries to report. The company pays a Management Fee for executives.

Finding – Executive expense (salary and benefits) was charged to different accounts including [redacted] to Other Companies, [redacted] to Account [redacted] - Plant Operations Administration, [redacted] to Account [redacted] – Customer Service, [redacted] to Account [redacted] - Engineering, and [redacted] to Account [redacted] Executive Expense.

Conclusion – The Company eliminated [redacted] in Corporate Operations Expense by properly applying the FCC USF Corporate expense cap.

Procedure 18: Reviewed depreciation rates used for the UAF application and compared to the rates adopted by the GPSC.

Conclusion – The Company's depreciation rates matched or were less than the rates prescribed by the GPSC.

Regulated Depreciation Expense was [redacted] in the UAF application. Per the UAF model, Total Plant in Service was [redacted]. Composite depreciation rate equals depreciation per year divided by total historical cost. The composite rate is shown below.

Depreciation Expense	[redacted]
Total Plant in Service	[redacted]
Composite Rate	[redacted]

Procedure 19: Reviewed cost allocation manual, verify that it is being used, test a sample of allocations and report when the manual was last updated.

Conclusion – The Company did employ a current cost allocation manual and the allocations tested were accurate.

Procedure 20: Incorporated revised factors or methods adopted by GPSC, such as cost of equity, into the UAF model.

Conclusion - The current cost of equity approved by the GPSC is [redacted]. Using the Company's actual debt/equity ratio and actual cost of debt, the Company's weighted average cost of capital ("WACC") is [redacted]. Because the Company's WACC did not exceed the GPSC's [redacted], the allowed return on rate base was the [redacted].

Procedure 21: Prepare exhibits of financial results and support mechanisms. Analyzed as to why increases/decreases occurred.

Financial Results 2022 – 2024

Description	2022	2023	2024	2025
Net telecom plant	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]
Operating Revenues	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]
Operating Expenses	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]
Operating income/(loss)	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]
Access Lines	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]

Conclusion – Net Plant increased due to plant additions. Operating Revenues and Expenses increased causing net income to be increased.

Receipt of Federal Universal Service Support 2022 – 2025

Support	2022	2023	2024	2025
HCLS	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]
ICLS	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]
LSS	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]
SNA	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]
SVS	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]
Lifeline	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]
Total	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]

Procedure 22: Identify Construction Work in Progress and Retirement amounts for the test year.

Conclusion – The Company had Construction Work in Progress and Plant Retirements at 6/30/2025 of $[redacted] and [redacted], respectively.

Procedure 23 Identify the Company's affiliates and non-regulated services.

Public Service Communications, Inc. (PSCI) engages in regulated and non-regulated activities through its wholly owned subsidiaries. PSCI owns 100% of its direct subsidiaries including Public Service Telephone Company, Flint Cable TV, Inc., Public Service Data Inc., Public Service Towers, Inc., Public Service Cellular, Inc., Public Service Cellular Aviation, Inc., Public Service PCS, Inc., Forsyth CableNet, LLC and Public Service Wireless Services.

Public Service Telephone Company is primarily engaged in the regulated business of providing local exchange telephone service to seven exchanges in central Georgia.

Public Service Air, LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of Public Service Towers, Inc. once owned an aircraft, hanger facilities and air related property. Both the Hanger and Aircraft were sold in July 2014.

Flint Cable TV, Inc. provides Cable Television and Broadband Service to cities in central Georgia including Reynolds, Roberta, Butler, Buena Vista, Culloden, Yatesville, a portion of the non-incorporated areas in Northeast Crawford County and a small portion of Macon – Bibb County.

Public Service Data, Inc. provides Internet connection services via DSL, CBOL, and Dialup in areas that overlap the Public Service Telephone service area.

Public Service Towers, Inc. owns towers in parts of Alabama and Georgia that are leased to communications companies and associated properties.

Public Service Cellular, Inc. provided wireless telephone service to cities in central Georgia and eastern Alabama until it was sold on February 28, 2005. Areas served included the Public Service Telephone service area, Thomaston, GA; Americus, GA; Columbus, GA; Auburn, AL; Opelika, AL; Lanett, AL;

West Point, GA; LaGrange, GA; Newnan, GA; Manchester, GA; etc. Public Service Cellular sold most of its assets to Alltel.

Public Service Cellular Aviation, Inc. at one time held the ownership in the company's aircraft. As of June 30, 2013, it had no assets.

Public Service PCS acquired FCC licenses, which were subsequently transferred to Public Service Cellular, Inc. to provide wireless telecommunications services in Columbus, GA, Anderson, SC and Anniston, AL. These licenses were sold as part of the Public Service Cellular, Inc. transaction on February 28th, 2005, and the Sprint transaction on January 30, 2006.

Public Service Wireless, Inc. is a wholly owned subsidiary of Public Service Wireless Services and owns 700 and AWS spectrum licenses. The company is offering Wireless Broadband and VOIP telecommunications services to customers in its service area. Public Service Wireless, Inc. is also a Low Income ETC. Public Service Wireless Services had a 50% partnership, in Enterprise Communications Partnership (ECP), a Sprint Affiliate, prior to it being sold January 30, 2006. ECP provided wireless personal communications services ("PCS") in areas, which included Dothan, AL; Opelika, AL; Albany, GA; LaGrange, GA; and Columbus, GA. Public Service Wireless Services, Inc. sold the AWS spectrum licenses to Verizon Wireless on February 17, 2022, and June 9, 2022.

Forsyth CableNet, LLC provides Cable Television, VOIP and Broadband Telecommunications Services to customers in Forsyth, GA, and an increasing number of unincorporated areas of Monroe County.

Conclusion – Affiliated and non-regulated services were reviewed and found reasonable.

Procedure 24 Identify the total costs charged to the regulated company by the affiliate or parent company.

Finding - Total costs charged to the regulated company by affiliates do not appear unreasonable and therefore, no affiliate audit is recommended.

[redacted].

Cost per Access line

[redacted] average cost per access line for:

CO Transmission Expense
Network Operations and
Depreciation Expense.

Procedure 25 For ACAM UAF companies only, obtain the Company spreadsheet depicting calculated amounts, Reviewed Company prepared adjustments, trace adjustments in the UAF application model to the spreadsheet and identify impact of the adjustment.

Conclusion – Not applicable.

Procedure 26 Test and Reviewed the Approved Cost of Equity and Cost of Capital Rates of Tier II local exchange carriers for the purpose of evaluating applications for reimbursement from the UAF. The rate of return on rate base will be limited to the lesser of 8.0% or the level computed based on a 9.25% return on equity, using the applicant's actual cost of debt and the applicant's actual debt/equity structure of 8.42706%. Verify that the above calculation was used in the respective UAF Model.


Conclusion – There were no discrepancies noted.

Procedure 27 Finalize work papers and prepare final report.

Procedure 28 Prepare testimony if requested.

Exhibit Nos. 1 – 3

1. Public Service Telephone Company Organization Chart
2. Public Service Telephone Company UAF Net Operating Income and Rate of Return on Rate Base Calculation
3. Public Service Telephone Company UAF Cost of Capital Structure and Return on Equity Calculation



Organizational Chart
Public Service Communications, Inc. - Parent
Public Service Telephone Company
Public Service Cellular, Inc.
Flint Cable TV, Inc.
Public Service Data, Inc.
Public Service Towers, Inc.
Public Service Cellular Aviation, Inc.
Public Service PCS, Inc.
Public Service Air, LLC
Public Service Wireless, Inc.
Public Service Wireless Services, Inc.
UW-Charing, LLC
Forsyth CableNet, LLC

Exhibit Two

REDACTED

Exhibit Three

REDACTED