COMMISSIONERS

JASON SHAW , Chairman
LAUREN "BUBBA" McDONALD , Vice-Chairman
PETER HUBBARD
DR. ALICIA M. JOHNSON
TRICIA PRIDEMORE

REECE McALISTER
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

MICHELLE THEBERT
FACILITIES PROTECTION UNIT DIRECTOR

FILED
MAR 0 3 2026
EXECUTIVE SECRETARY
GPSC

Georgia Public Service Commission

(404) 463-6526
(800) 282-5813

244 Washington Street, SW
Atlanta, GA 30334-5701

FAX: (404) 463-6532
psc.ga.gov

Docket No. 37024

DOCKET# 37024
DOCUMENT# 225676

AGL - Operations and Engineering
Ten Peachtree Place, NE Suite 1000
Location 1365
Atlanta, GA 30309

REF: Comprehensive Inspection # JB25-004

☒ Certified Mail ☐ Regular Mail ☐ Email

Attention: Walt Farrell

On March 24, 2025, a representative of the Georgia Public Service Commission Pipeline/Facilities Safety Office conducted an inspection of your gas system. The enclosed inspection report numbered JB25-004 is provided for your information and file.

In conjunction with this inspection report, Staff has recommended the following enforcement(s):

Enforcement Action:

- ☐ Notice of Probable Violation
- ☒ Notice of Probable Violation with Proposed Civil Penalty
- ☐ Notice of Amendment

Enforcement Letter:

- ☐ Warning Letter
- ☒ Letter of Concern

Enforcement Notification:

- ☐ Observed Issue
- ☐ No Violation

Please review and respond to this report in accordance with the attached Instructions for Responding to Enforcement Actions, Enforcement Letters, and Notifications Issued by the Georgia Public Service Commission. Please refer to Docket No. 37024 in your response.

Please let me know if there are any questions concerning this report at (404) 985-4271 or mthebert@psc.ga.gov. Thank you for your continuing contribution toward increased pipeline safety.

Sincerely,

Michelle Thebert

Michelle L. Thebert
Director, Office of Pipeline Safety/Facilities Protection

COMMISSIONERS

JASON SHAW , Chairman
LAUREN "BUBBA" McDONALD , Vice-Chairman
PETER HUBBARD
ALICIA M. JOHNSON
TRICIA PRIDEMORE

REECE McALISTER
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

MICHELLE THEBERT
FACILITIES PROTECTION UNIT DIRECTOR

Georgia Public Service Commission

(404) 463-6526
(800) 282-5813

244 Washington Street, SW
Atlanta, GA 30334-5701

FAX: (404) 463-6532
psc.ga.gov

REPORT OF NATURAL GAS SAFETY

INSPECTION NO: JB25-004

OPERATOR: AGL - Clayton

INVESTIGATOR: Jeff Baggett

INSPECTION DATE: March 24, 2025 REPORT MAILED DATE: February 11, 2026

Any questions concerning this report may be directed to the above address or by telephoning (404) 463-6526.

1. PURPOSE OF INSPECTION

To conduct a comprehensive inspection of the Operators plans, programs, procedures, pipeline, and pipeline facilities for compliance with the minimum federal safety standards in 49CFR Parts 191 and 192.

2. CONTINUING VIOLATIONS

Violation	Description	Inspection#	Date
192.605(a)	Each operator shall prepare and follow for each pipeline, a manual of written procedures for...	AT18-039	9/24/2018

3. CLEARED VIOLATIONS

4. NEW VIOLATIONS

Violation	Description	Inspection#	Date
192.613(b)	Continuing Surveillance - If a segment of pipeline is determined to be in unsatisfactory condition..	JB25-004	3/24/2025

5. OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS/COMMENTS

SEE ATTACHED INSPECTION REPORT

ATLANTA GAS LIGHT COMPANY – CLAYTON SERVICE CENTER / COMP INSPECTION
INSPECTION NUMBER JB25-004 / DOCKET NUMBER 37024

Between June 10 and August 5, 2024, Staff met with representatives from the Atlanta Gas Light Company (AGLC) to review records of operations and maintenance activities in preparation for comprehensive inspections of the Clayton, Conyers, Milledgeville, Newnan, Northwest GA (NWGA / Rome), Valdosta, Vidalia and Waycross Service Center.

Due to scheduling and personnel availability, the Clayton Service Center Audit was not completed in CY 2024. From March 24-27, 2025, Staff performed a review of emergency response plans and records, and field evaluations of facilities in the Clayton Service Center territory. (Clayton, Fayette, Fulton and Henry Counties) An exit interview was conducted with AGLC Corporate Management and local Service Center personnel at the conclusion of this inspection.

During this inspection, the Operator was represented by:
Joe Caubo - Manager, Compliance and QA, Regulatory Compliance
Ralph McCollum - Principal Compliance Engineer
Dennis McGouirk – Region Director
Johanna Pierret – Operations Manager
Tyrone Eaddy – Operations Supervisor
Ivory Hampton – Operations Supervisor
Garth King – Operations Supervisor
Harold Rivers – Operations Supervisor
Austin Scott Warren – Operations Supervisor
Mark Willis -Operations Supervisor
Miles Steele – Corrosion Technician

Commission Staff was represented by:
Jeff Baggett – Lead Inspector / Supervisor, Facilities Protection Unit
Jack Hewitt – Pipeline Safety Inspector
Brant Sweat – Pipeline Safety Inspector
Daniel Clemmons – Pipeline Safety Inspector

At the time of this inspection the AGLC Clayton Service Center had one (1) previously existing probable violation. The Operator has requested an informal conference in regard to this violation.

As a result of this inspection Staff found that the AGLC Clayton Service Center was in probable violation of the minimum federal safety standards; specifically:

NOTICE OF PROBABLE VIOLATION

§192.613 Continuing surveillance.
(b) If a segment of pipeline is determined to be in unsatisfactory condition but no immediate hazard exists, the operator shall initiate a program to recondition or phase out the segment involved, or, if the segment cannot be reconditioned or phased out, reduce the maximum allowable operating pressure in accordance with §192.619 (a) and (b).

STAFFS COMMENTS: General and Continuing Surveillance is addressed in Division II, Section 1 of the AGLC Operations and Procedures Manual, which states: "Included in this Division are procedures for the continuing surveillance of the Company's gas system(s) and instructions concerned with safety of the general public. Company policy requires that personnel make every effort to identify and report factors or conditions that may adversely affect the safe operation of Company facilities."

During field evaluations Staff observed numerous markers that were knocked over, mowed over, or destroyed. This was the case both on distribution lines in road ROW as well as on cross country lines. Staff also found an exposed 6" line in a creek off of Cleckler Rd. North of I 85. The lines coating was damaged, and pitting has occurred on the top of the pipe. This location was identified in their patrol record dated 4/1/2013, however there is no record of any remediation. Atmospheric corrosion issues were found at multiple locations during field inspections. Staff also found that the Atlanta Farmers Market had severe atmospheric corrosion which had been previously identified during the Operator previous comprehensive inspection, but which had not been addressed. (Ref, Inspection Number AT18-039) (See attached photos)

PROBABLE VIOLATION: AGLC personnel have failed to identify and report factors or conditions that could affect the safe operation of the company's facilities so that they can be remediated in a timely manner.

LETTER OF CONCERN

1. §192.615 Emergency plans.
   (a) Each operator shall establish written procedures to minimize the hazard resulting from a gas pipeline emergency…
   (b) Each operator shall:
      (3) Review employee activities to determine whether the procedures were effectively followed in each emergency.

   STAFFS COMMENTS: Staff found that AGLC's procedure was inadequate because it does not include steps for personnel to follow when reviewing an employee's activities following an emergency to determine whether that employee effectively followed the procedures. During this inspection Staff requested records which demonstrated that employee activities were reviewed following emergencies. Staff found that AGLC's procedure for compliance with the requirements of §192.615(b)(3) is in Division 2, Section 22, Item 22.3.1 of their Operations and Procedures Manual (OPM), but it does not have an actual procedure and simply parrots the code language when it states: "Each service center shall: 3. Review employee activities to determine whether the emergency procedures were effectively followed in each emergency."

   Staff also found that the actual process for review of emergencies under §192.615(b)(3) is the same process for the periodic review of completed work required by §192.605(b)(8). Reviews of completed work appear to only occur on work orders in the "Click Reviewer" system when they are flagged by the system as having a "business warning." Staff intends to address this issue with AGLC as notice to amend their procedure for this requirement.

   STAFFS CONCERN: The AGLC Clayton Service Center failed to demonstrate that they are reviewing their employee activities to determine whether their emergency procedures were effectively followed in each emergency.

2. §192.615 Emergency plans.
   (c) Each operator must establish and maintain liaison with the appropriate public safety answering point (i.e., 9-1-1 emergency call center) where direct access to a 9-1-1 emergency call center is available from the location of the pipeline, as well as fire, police, and other public officials, to:
      (1) Learn the responsibility and resources of each government organization that may respond to a gas pipeline emergency;
      (2) Acquaint the officials with the operator's ability in responding to a gas pipeline emergency;
      (3) Identify the types of gas pipeline emergencies of which the operator notifies the officials; and,

(4) Plan how the operator and officials can engage in mutual assistance to minimize hazards to life or property.

ITEM OF CONCERN: During this inspection Staff reviewed records for continuing liaison which indicated that liaison activities have been conducted; however, the documentation for most of CY2022, and all of CY's 2023 and 2024 do not have the dates that the liaison was actually conducted.

3. §192.469 External corrosion control: Test stations.
Each pipeline under cathodic protection required by this subpart must have sufficient test stations or other contact points for electrical measurement to determine the adequacy of cathodic protection.

STAFFS CONCERN: Staff was not able to adequately evaluate the Operators system to determine if there are sufficient test points. PHMSA Enforcement Guidance for §192.469 states that "The operator must have sufficient test stations where data is collected to demonstrate that its entire pipeline is cathodically protected. (A test station is the location designated by the operator on a pipeline or facility, where cathodic protection readings are taken.) Test stations for potential, current, or resistance measurements should be provided at sufficient locations to facilitate cathodic protection testing. Such locations may include, but not be limited to, the following: pipe casing installations, metallic structure crossings, isolating joints, waterway crossings, bridge crossings, valve stations, galvanic anode installations, road crossings, stray-current areas, and rectifier installations…"

Prior to arriving on-site AGLC provided Staff with a listing of facilities that indicated that the Clayton Service Center is operating 1,093 miles of steel mains and more than 229,000 services (exact number of steel services was not determined) in a 4 county area. Cathodic protection for these facilities is provided by 67 rectifiers that are that are located across the four (4) counties. During this inspection AGLC provided Staff with a "Test Point Reads (Audit)" from their CPDM system. For the Clayton Service Center this report identifies 2 Properties and 918 System ID's in an Excel spreadsheet that is more than 19,000 lines long and cannot be filtered to allow for a high-level review of the data. AGLC was also unable to provide a readily accessible map or access to a mapping system that Staff could use to gain a proper perspective as to where cathodic protection facilities and test points are located in reference to the specific pipeline segments that are being monitored in their system. The Operators corrosion personnel can generate some maps to evaluate areas, but the time required to perform an overall system evaluation by this method is not reasonable for either party.

4. §192.491 Corrosion control records.
(a) Each operator shall maintain records or maps to show the location of cathodically protected piping, cathodic protection facilities, galvanic anodes, and neighboring structures bonded to the cathodic protection system. Records or maps showing a stated number of anodes, installed in a stated manner or spacing, need not show specific distances to each buried anode.
(b) Each record or map required by paragraph (a) of this section must be retained for as long as the pipeline remains in service.
(c) Each operator shall maintain a record of each test, survey, or inspection required by this subpart in sufficient detail to demonstrate the adequacy of corrosion control measures or that a corrosive condition does not exist…

STAFFS CONCERN: During this inspection Staff was not able to perform an adequate review of the Operators cathodic protection (CP) systems. §192.463(a) requires that each cathodic protection system required by this subpart provide a level of cathodic protection that complies with one or more of the applicable criteria contained in Appendix D of this part; and §192.491(c) requires each operator to

maintain a record of each test, survey, or inspection required by this subpart in sufficient detail to demonstrate the adequacy of corrosion control measures or that a corrosive condition does not exist.

Section 8.2.5 of the AGLC Operations and Procedures Manual addresses "Records for Monitoring Cathodically Protected Systems" and states that these records are to be "recorded on a corrosion control computer system or as appropriate." Staff is aware that Atlanta Gas Light Company utilizes the American Innovations Pipeline Compliance System (PCS) Cathodic Protection Data Manager (CPDM) to maintain records for their CP systems. Staff is also aware that the AGLC DIMP program identifies CPDM as the application used by the Corrosion Department for the purposes of CP compliance management.

In previous inspections AGLC has provided Staff with the CPDM Exceptions Report for the service center systems being audited. This report includes survey data and other related information only for facilities that fail to meet certain criteria and is useful in allowing Staff to identify systems with issues that should be reviewed further for compliance. AGLC would not provide this report for this audit. According to the CPDM users guide the software also includes reports for "Compliance" and "Delinquency," and states that "Compliance reports are a key report typically used for auditing purposes." AGLC refused to provide these reports.

Prior to this inspection Staff requested to review the corrosion map that is referenced in the OPM. (Div II, Sec 8, Item 8.26. AGLC responded and stated that: "this language was placed in the OPM back when AGL had bare steel and cast iron where the Company does not readily maintain the identified corrosion leak maps any longer as identified in Division II Section 8.26 in the OPM. For this reason, Division II, Section 8.26 will be revised accordingly. With the implementation of DIMP, corrosion leaks are tracked and maintained for Risk through the Company's DIMP team where maps can be produced upon request if needed, however, systemic issues can readily be determined from a simple spreadsheet and pivots now since the number of corrosion leaks being repaired are rather small as shown below. I've added these spreadsheets to the individual service center folders underneath the Leak Repairs subfolders for your review.)" Staff also requested copies of the CPDM Compliance Report, Delinquency Report, Exceptions Report, and Test Point Reads Report for the Clayton Service Center. AGLC only provided the Test Points Reads Report.

Prior to arriving on-site AGLC provided Staff with a listing of facilities that indicated that the Clayton Service Center is operating 1,093 miles of steel mains and more than 229,000 services (exact number of steel services was not determined) in a 4 county area. Cathodic protection for these facilities is provided by 67 rectifiers that are that are located across the four (4) counties. During this inspection AGLC provided Staff with a "Test Point Reads (Audit)" from their CPDM system. For the Clayton Service Center this report identifies 2 Properties and 918 System ID's in an Excel spreadsheet that is more than 19,000 lines long and cannot be filtered to allow for a high-level review of the data. AGLC was also unable to provide a readily accessible map or access to a mapping system that Staff could use to gain a proper perspective as to where cathodic protection facilities and test points are located in reference to the specific pipeline segments that are being monitored in their system. The Operators corrosion personnel can generate some maps to evaluate areas, but the time required to perform an overall system evaluation by this method is not reasonable for either party.

Staff believes that the CP records provided by AGLC, combined with the time and personnel available for this audit did not allow them to properly evaluate the CP systems in the Clayton Service Center area for compliance with the requirements of Subpart I.

During this inspection Staff conducted nine (9) OQ Protocol 9 field evaluations.

- Brandolyn Walker performed:
  - Cathodic Protection Testing (Pipe-To-Soil) - Task #OQ003A. Task performed correctly. Qualified Through 08/16/26.
  - Rectifier Inspection and Operation – Task #OQ007E. Task performed correctly. Qualified through 05/18/2026.
- Dana Moore, Pressure Control Specialist performed:
  - Installing, Operating, Inspecting, and Testing Pressure Limiting Devices (Regulator Station)" Task #OQ028A. Tasks performed correctly. Qualification verified as current Qualifications good through 12/31/2026.
  - Valve Inspection "Task #OQ052A. Tasks performed correctly. Qualification verified as current Qualifications good through 11/21/2026.
- Dustin Moodispaugh, Pressure Control Specialist performed:
  - Installing, Operating, Inspecting, and Testing Pressure Limiting Devices (Regulator Station)" Task #OQ028A. Tasks performed correctly. Qualification verified as current Qualifications good through 9/16/2026.
  - Valve Inspection "Task #OQ052A. Tasks performed correctly. Qualification verified as current Qualifications good through 10/16/2026.
- Marcus Green, Foreman, performed Outside Leak Investigation - Task #32A. Task Performed Correctly. Qualification verified as current Qualifications good through 02/02/26
- Robert Miles, Foreman, performed Outside Leak Investigation - Task #32A. Task performed correctly. Qualification verified as current and qualifications good through 02/24/26
- Stephen Smith, Service Technician, performed Odorization Sample - Task #41A. Task performed correctly. Qualification verified as current and qualifications good through 05/19/26

Staff verified that each individual was able to perform the covered tasks according to the procedures and was able to identify generic and task specific abnormal conditions and define the applicable actions.

PROPOSED CIVIL PENALTY

Under Commission Rule 515-9-1.01, by virtue of the authority vested in the Commission by law and pursuant to orders issued by the Commission on May 4, 1967, July 6, 1967, April 23, 1968, and October 29, 1970, all Rules and Regulations prescribed by the United States Department of Transportation applicable to the "Transportation of Natural and Other Gas by Pipeline: Minimum Safety Standards" (C.F.R. 49, Parts 191 and 192) are by this Rule made the Rules and Regulations of the Georgia Public Service Commission for the safe installation and operation of all natural gas transmission and distribution facilities by companies subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission within this State.

Under Commission Rule 515-9-3-.08 (Written Formal Notice of Violation), the Commission may propose a civil penalty in conjunction with this notice of probable violation. Further, Atlanta Gas Light Company is subject to civil penalties under O.C.G.A. § 46-2-91(b) which states that: Any operator which violates any rule or regulation of the commission prescribed pursuant to subsection (i) of Code Section 46-2-20, or which fails, neglects, or refuses to comply with any order after notice thereof, shall be liable to a penalty not to exceed the maximum penalties provided for in 49 C.F.R. Section 190.223.

Staff has reviewed the circumstances surrounding the probable violations cited in this report and has recommended the civil penalty listed below. Please note that this recommended amount is less than the amount authorized by Georgia law.

Item #	Code / Rule	Description	Proposed Penalty
1	§192.613(b)	Continuing Surveillance	$500.00
TOTAL PROPOSED PENALTY			$500.00

Please review and respond to this report in accordance with the attached Instructions for Responding to Enforcement Letters Issued by the Georgia Public Service Commission.

OPERATOR RESPONSE FORM

Informal Conference Requested: Yes ☐ No ☐

Operator Name: ATLANTA GAS LIGHT COMPANY

Docket Number: 37024

Responses required within **30 days of electronic mail.** Provide the following information for this report:

Response to Inspection Number:_____

Type of Enforcement Action(s) cited in report:			Informal Conference Requested	
☐ Notice of Probable Violation (NOPV)	☐ New	☐Continuing	☐ Yes	☐ No
☐ Notice of Amendment (NOA)	☐ New	☐Continuing	☐ Yes	☐ No
☐ Warning Letter	☐ New	☐Continuing	☐ Yes	☐ No
☐ Letter of Concern	☐ New	☐Continuing	☐ Yes	☐ No
☐ Observed Issue	☐ New	☐Continuing	☐ Yes	☐ No
☐ Proposed Civil Penalty	$ _____ PCP $ Amount (Total for report)			

Indicate below (A-E) based on the type of Enforcement Actions in this report: (Check all that apply)
Complete a separate copy of Page 2, Operator Response to Enforcement Action, for each cited item.

A. Response for **Notice of Probable Violation** (with and without proposed civil penalty):
   1. ☐ Written statement indicating that corrective measures have achieved Compliance or
   2. ☐ Written plan of action outlining the corrective measures that will be taken to achieve compliance and when compliance is anticipated.

B. Response for **NOA:**
   1. ☐ Contested: Attach written explanation, information, or other material in answer to the allegations in the Notice of Amendment stating your reasons for objecting to the NOA, in whole or in part; or
   2. ☐ Not Contested: Explanation, information, or other material believed to warrant modification of the NOA in whole or in part; or
   3. ☐ Written request for clarification. (Attached)

C. Response for **Continuing** and/or **Existing** violations:
   Current status, updates, expected completion dates, proposed modifications, etc., of the continuing and/or existing violations, even if this information was previously provided. Referencing a previously filed response letter, as status, updates, etc. alone is not sufficient for this response. Failure to provide this information may result in formal interrogatories from the Director through data requests.

D. Response for ☐ **Letter of Concern** / ☐ **Warning Letter**
   I acknowledge receipt of the Letter of Concern/Warning Letter:_____
   SIGNATURE / TITLE

   Additional Comments: (Optional)_____
   _____
   _____

E. Response for **Observed Issue** and **No Violation**: (Optional Response)
   Staff requests that the Operator acknowledge receipt of the Observed Issue/No Violation in writing, or by submitting an email to the FPU Director

Operator Response to Enforcement Action

Enforcement Action for:_____ ☐ New Violation ☐ Continuing Violation
Enter Code / Commission Rule (Complete a separate attachment for each enforcement action)

Enforcement Action(s) cited in report:	Conference Requested:	
☐ Notice of Probable Violation (NOPV)	☐ Yes ☐ No	$_____ Proposed Penalty for this violation
☐ Notice of Amendment (NOA)	☐ Yes ☐ No	
☐ Warning Letter	☐ Yes ☐ No	
☐ Letter of Concern	☐ Yes ☐ No	
☐ Observed Issue	☐ Yes ☐ No	
☐ Proposed Civil Penalty	☐ Yes ☐ No	

OPERATORS RESPONSE: _____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

Response Provided by: _____ Date:_____
Name / Title

Internal use only:

Lead Inspector: _____ Date Response Reviewed:_____

Actions Acceptable: ☐ Yes ☐No Re-inspection Needed:☐ Yes ☐ No Clear Violation:☐ Yes ☐ No

_____

Supervisor Review by:_____ Date Reviewed:_____

Additional Enforcement Recommended: ☐ Yes ☐ No

_____

Director Review

Approved: ☐ Yes ☐No Schedule Special Follow-up Inspection: ☐ Yes ☐ No

Cleared Violation: ☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:_____

_____

Filings:
For all written responses or any other official correspondence, the Operator shall file the response at the following address:

Ms. Sallie Tanner, Executive Secretary
Georgia Public Service Commission
244 Washington Street, SW
Atlanta, Georgia 30334

The Operator must file **five (5)** copies of any response and/or official correspondence, as well as a CD with an electronic version of the response in Microsoft Word and/or a PDF, if applicable.

Informal Conference:
Any Operator who chooses to request an informal conference shall request such a conference through emailing the Director (michellet@psc.state.ga.us), calling the Director (404-463-2765), or selecting the "Request Conference" choice.

Civil Penalty Payments:
Certified check for the full amount of the recommended civil penalty or consent agreement amount made payable to the Georgia Public Service Commission. The Operator's name, applicable Docket No., and Inspection Report No. must be included on the certified check. Mail payment to:

Ms. Michelle Thebert, Director, Facilities Protection Unit
Georgia Public Service Commission
244 Washington Street
Atlanta, Georgia 30334

Hearing Requests:
The Operator has the right to request a hearing before the full Commission to contest the alleged probable violations, recommended civil penalties, and all other proposed actions of enforcement. A request for a hearing must be submitted in writing and in accordance with Commission Rule 515-2-1-.04. The Operator must include a statement of the issues that you intend to raise at the hearing. The issues may relate to the allegations, new information, proposed compliance order, proposed civil penalty, or any other recommendation for enforcement action. Please refer to Commission Rule 515-9-3-.11 and O.C.G.A. § 46-2-91 for assessment considerations upon which civil penalties are based. An operator's failure to specify an issue may result in a waiver of the right to raise that issue at hearing. The request must also indicate whether or not the Operator will be represented by counsel at the hearing.

Open Records and Trade Secret Filings:
The Operator is advised that any material provided to the Commission, and all materials prepared by the Commission, including the Notice of Probable Violations and any Orders issued in this case, may be considered public information and subject to disclosure under the Georgia Open Records Act (O.C.G.A. § 50-18-70 et seq.). If you believe that any portion of your response material is security sensitive, privileged, confidential or may cause your company competitive disadvantages and would qualify for protection under the Commission's "Trade Secret Rule" (Commission Rule 515-3-1-.11), you must, along with the complete original document clearly marked "TRADE SECRET" on each page, provide a second copy of the document with the portions you believe qualify for trade secret treatment redacted, and an explanation of why you believe the redacted information qualifies for such trade secret treatment. Should the Commission receive a request for disclosure of any "TRADE SECRET" material, you will be notified, if after review, the materials and your provided justification are deemed not to meet any exemptions provided in the Georgia Open Records Act. You may appeal the Commission's decision to release material at that time. Your appeal will stay the release of those materials until a final decision is made.

BEFORE THE GEORGIA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
STATE OF GEORGIA

IN THE MATTER OF:	)	
	)	
Atlanta Gas Light Company	)	**DOCKET NO. 37024**
	)	
	)	
	)	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, hereby certify that I have this day served a copy of the within and foregoing document on the following persons via email and/or United States Mail as follows:

*Sallie Tanner, Executive Secretary
Georgia Public Service Commission
244 Washington Street, SW
Atlanta, GA 30334

* Walt Farrell, President and CEO
Southern Company Gas
Ten Peachtree Place, NE,
Atlanta, GA 30309
wffarell@southernco.com

*Michelle Thebert, Facilities Protection Unit Director
Georgia Public Service Commission
244 Washington Street, SW
Atlanta, GA 30334

Respectfully Submitted this 5th day of March February 2026:

Cathy Nesbitt

Cathy Nesbitt, Administrative Assistant
Georgia Public Service Commission
Facilities Protection Unit
244 Washington Street, SW
Atlanta, GA 30334

CLAYTON AGL CONTINUING SURVEILLANCE

EXPOSED PIPE

EXPOSED PIPE 9442 S. MAIN ST. JONESBORO









EXPOSED PIPE TYRONE TO FAIRBURN LINE IN CREEK REPORTED 4/1/2013

CLECKLER RD. NEAR I 85 AND COLLINSWORTH









EXPOSED 30” PIPE RIVERDALE LNG TO DECATUR LINE IN CREEK REPORTED 3/10/2022

BEHIND 7099 BROOKVIEW CIR.





CLAYTON AGL CONTINUING SURVEILLANCE

ROW ISSUES

TREE AND SHED ON TRANSMISSION LINE BETWEEN SHANNON CIR. AND ALIYAH DR NEAR LOVEJOY



OVERGROWN ROW NEAR THRASHER RD IRONDALE LOVEJOY AREA



OVERGROWN ROW NEAR THRASHER RD IRONDALE LOVEJOY AREA



FENCES AND OVERGROWN ROW NEAR THRASHER RD IRONDALE LOVEJOY AREA



FENCES AND OVERGROWN ROW NEAR THRASHER RD IRONDALE LOVEJOY AREA



FENCES AND OVERGROWN ROW NEAR THRASHER RD IRONDALE LOVEJOY AREA



OVERGROWN ROW NEAR THRASHER RD IRONDALE LOVEJOY AREA



OVERGROWN ROW NEAR THRASHER RD IRONDALE LOVEJOY AREA



OVERGROWN ROW NEAR THRASHER RD IRONDALE LOVEJOY AREA



CLAYTON AGL CONTINUING SURVEILLANCE

ATMOSPHERIC CORROSION

AC ISSUES HAMPTON STATION AT LITTLE RD. AND 1941



AC ISSUES HAMPTON STATION AT LITTLE RD. AND 1941



AC ISSUES HAMPTON STATION AT LITTLE RD. AND 1941



AC ISSUES HAMPTON STATION AT LITTLE RD. AND 1941



AC ISSUES HAMPTON STATION AT LITTLE RD. AND 1941



AC ISSUES HAMPTON STATION AT LITTLE RD. AND 1941



AC ISSUES WELCOME ALL RD. STATION



AC ISSUES WELCOME ALL RD. STATION



AC ISSUES WELCOME ALL RD. STATION



AC ISSUES WELCOME ALL RD. STATION



AC ISSUES WELCOME ALL RD. STATION



AC ISSUES WELCOME ALL RD. STATION



AC ISSUES WELCOME ALL RD. STATION



AC ISSUES WELCOME ALL RD. STATION



AC ISSUES WELCOME ALL RD. STATION



AC ISSUES FARMERS MARKET SAME LOCATIONS WRITTEN UP IN 2018 COMP INSPECTION



AC ISSUES FARMERS MARKET SAME LOCATIONS WRITTEN UP IN 2018 COMP INSPECTION



AC ISSUES FARMERS MARKET SAME LOCATIONS WRITTEN UP IN 2018 COMP INSPECTION



AC ISSUES FARMERS MARKET SAME LOCATIONS WRITTEN UP IN 2018 COMP INSPECTION



AC ISSUES FARMERS MARKET SAME LOCATIONS WRITTEN UP IN 2018 COMP INSPECTION



AC ISSUES FARMERS MARKET SAME LOCATIONS WRITTEN UP IN 2018 COMP INSPECTION



AC ISSUES FARMERS MARKET SAME LOCATIONS WRITTEN UP IN 2018 COMP INSPECTION



AC ISSUES FARMERS MARKET SAME LOCATIONS WRITTEN UP IN 2018 COMP INSPECTION



AC ISSUES FARMERS MARKET SAME LOCATIONS WRITTEN UP IN 2018 COMP INSPECTION



AC ISSUES FARMERS MARKET SAME LOCATIONS WRITTEN UP IN 2018 COMP INSPECTION



AC ISSUES FARMERS MARKET SAME LOCATIONS WRITTEN UP IN 2018 COMP INSPECTION



AC ISSUES FARMERS MARKET SAME LOCATIONS WRITTEN UP IN 2018 COMP INSPECTION



AC ISSUES FARMERS MARKET SAME LOCATIONS WRITTEN UP IN 2018 COMP INSPECTION



AC ISSUES FARMERS MARKET SAME LOCATIONS WRITTEN UP IN 2018 COMP INSPECTION

