CHUCK EATON, CHAIRMAN TIM G. ECHOLS, VICE-CHAIRMAN LAUREN "BUBBA" McDONALD TRICIA PRIDEMORE JASON SHAW



DEBORAH K. FLANNAGAN **EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR** 

MICHELLE THEBERT FACILITIES PROTECTION UNIT DIRECTOR JAN 27 2020

### Georgia Public Service Commission

(404)40(800) 2

5532 a.us

63-6526 82-5813		244 Washington Street, SW Atlanta, GA 30334-5701	FAX: (404) 463-6 www.psc.state.ga
		DOCKET#Pocket No. 37079	
	City of Royston Gas Departm 684 Franklin Springs Street Royston, GA 30662	DOCUMENT# 179680	
	Certified	/\4	Mail
	Attention: Mr. Edward Andre	ws	
		presentative of the Georgia Public Service Common of your gas system. The enclosed inspection rand file.	
	In conjunction with this inspe	ction report, Staff has recommended the following	g enforcement(s):
	Enforcement Action:  Notice of F	Probable Violation	
	■ Notice of P	Probable Violation with Proposed Civil Penalty	
	Notice of A	mendment	
Enforcement Letter:			
	Warning Le		
	Letter of C	oncern	
	Enforcement Notification		
	Observed		
	No Violatio	'n	
	Please review and respond to Enforcement Actions, Enforcement Please refer to Docket No. 3	o this report in accordance with the attached Instrement Letters, and Notifications Issued by the Ge 7079 in your response.	ructions for Responding to eorgia Public Service Commission.
		are any questions concerning this report at (404) 4 Thank you for your continuing contribution toward	

Sincerely,

Michelle L. Thebert

Director, Office of Pipeline Safety/Facilities Protection

helle Sheber 9

COMMISSIONERS

CHUCK EATON, CHAIRMAN
TIM G. ECHOLS, VICE-CHAIRMAN
LAUREN "BUBBA" McDONALD
TRICIA PRIDEMORE
JASON SHAW



DEBORAH K. FLANNAGAN EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

MICHELLE THEBERT FACILITIES PROTECTION UNIT DIRECTOR

### **Georgia Public Service Commission**

(404) 463-6526 (800) 282-5813 244 Washington Street, SW Atlanta, GA 30334-5701

FAX: (404) 463-6532 www.psc.state.ga.us

### REPORT OF NATURAL GAS SAFETY

INSPECTION NO:

JJ19-061

OPERATOR:

City of Royston Gas Department

INVESTIGATOR:

Joe Jones

INSPECTION DATE:

November 25, 2019

REPORT MAILED DATE:

January 22, 2020

Any questions concerning this report may be directed to the above address or by telephoning (404) 463-6526.

### 1. PURPOSE OF INSPECTION

To conduct an inspection of the Operators Distribution Integrity Management Program (DIMP) for compliance with the minimum federal standards in 49CFR Part 192, SubPart P

#### 2. CONTINUING VIOLATIONS

#### 3. CLEARED VIOLATIONS

#### 4. NEW VIOLATIONS

#### 5. OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS/COMMENTS

From November 25-26, 2019, Commission Staff reported to City of Royston to conduct an inspection of the Operator's Distribution Integrity Management Program (DIMP) for compliance with the minimum federal standards in 49CFR §192, SubPart P.

During this Inspection, the Operator was represented by: David Presley – Gas Superintendent Travis Quarles – Gas Foreman Tim Franklin – SRCS (Consultant)

Commission Staff was represented by: Joe Jones – Lead Inspector Alan Towe - Inspector Scotty Davis - Inspector

At the time of this inspection, the City of Royston had no previously existing probable violations.

#### NO VIOLATIONS FOUND

During this inspection Staff reviewed the City of Royston Gas System Distribution Integrity Management Program (DIMP) for compliance with the minimum federal standards in 49CFR §192, SubPart P.

During the field evaluation, Staff observed the Operator's personnel perform three protocol 9 inspections in Franklin County. The Operator provided the individual qualifications for Bryan Garrett, Tyler Coile to perform covered tasks. Staff examined the individual records for Mr. Garrett, and Mr. Coile before observing the performance in the field. Staff verified that qualification records for these individuals were current.

Bryan Garrett, Gas Technician, performed task #0331Valve-Visual Inspection and Partial Operation, qualifications good thru 5/10/24; Tyler Coile Gas Technician, performed task #0101, Inspect Rectifier and Obtain Readings, qualification good thru 2/25/2024; and task # 1211, Periodic Sampling, qualification good thru 5/4/2024. Staff verified that Mr. Garrett, and Mr. Coile all performed these covered tasks according to the procedures and were able to identify generic and task specific abnormal conditions and define the applicable actions.

As a result of this inspection Staff noted no probable violations of the minimum federal safety standards.

Please review and respond to this report in accordance with the attached Instructions for Responding to Enforcement Letters Issued by the Georgia Public Service Commission.

Commission Rules 515-9-3-.09 and .10 provide the options available to respond when an Enforcement Letter is issued by the Facilities Protection Unit Director/Pipeline Safety Director (Director) of the Georgia Public Service Commission ("Commission"). The following information provides natural gas operators with general information, the process for responding to said Enforcement Letters, and procedures for requesting a hearing.

For all written responses or any other official correspondence, the Operator shall file the response at the following address:

Mr. Reece McAlister, Executive Secretary Georgia Public Service Commission 244 Washington Street, SW Atlanta, Georgia 30334

The Operator must file **five** (5) copies of any response and/or official correspondence, as well as a CD with an electronic version of the response in Microsoft Word and/or a PDF, if applicable.

## I. General Information Regarding Enforcement Actions, Enforcement Letters, and Notifications Issued by the Commission

#### A. Enforcement Actions:

- 1. <u>Notice of Probable Violation (NOPV)-</u>The purpose of this enforcement action is to document and to provide notice concerning any probable violations of a rule or regulation. A NOPV may or may not contain a proposed civil penalty.
- 2. <u>Notice of Amendment (NOA)</u>-The purpose of this enforcement action is to document and to provide notice concerning a required plan amendment for an Operator.

Enforcement Actions require a written response from the Operator detailing how the issue will be addressed or corrected, and the proposed timeframe(s) for said corrections. See *Process for Responding to Enforcement Actions* below for additional details.

#### **B.** Enforcement Letters:

- 1. <u>Warning Letter</u>-The purpose of this letter is to document and to provide notice concerning any probable violations of a rule or regulation.
- 2. <u>Letter of Concern-</u> The purpose of this letter is to document concerns with an Operator's plans, programs, or facilities, which could result in a probable violation unless action is taken to address the issue.

Enforcement Letters require a written response within thirty (30) days acknowledging receipt of the letter(s). Enforcement Letters serve as notice to the Operator that if appropriate action is not taken to correct the probable violation(s), enforcement actions may be taken if a subsequent inspection reveals continuing or new violation(s). The Operator is not required to provide corrective action or file a written plan of action; however, it is in the best interest of the Operator to provide notice as to whether any corrective action will be taken. Failure to provide this information may result in formal interrogatories from the Director through data requests.

#### C. Notifications:

 Observed Issue: The purpose of this notification is to inform the Operator of identified or observed conditions or operating practices that may or may not be in violation at the time. However, if the issue is not corrected, it may result in a future violation or an unsafe situation.

2. <u>No Violation</u>: The purpose of this notification is to inform the Operator that no violations were noted during the inspection. This notification will be sent to the Operator by electronic mail. Please note that it is the Operator's responsibility to ensure that the GPSC has the correct e-mail address for the required recipient of Commission correspondence.

Notifications require an electronic response to the Director @ michellet@psc.state.ga.us within five (5) days acknowledging receipt of the notification.

#### II. Process for Responding to Enforcement Actions

Unless otherwise noted, the Operator has thirty (30) days from the date the Enforcement Action is received by the Operator filed to file a written response with the Executive Secretary or request an informal conference with the Director. Any Operator who chooses to request an informal conference shall request such a conference through either emailing or calling the Director at michellet@psc.state.ga.us / 404-463-2765.

#### A. Notice of Probable Violation (NOPV)

The Operator may request an informal conference with the Director and/or her Staff to discuss the NOPV. The violation may be resolved at this stage; however, if agreement cannot be reached, enforcement procedures shall continue. If you chose not to seek an informal conference, the following due process options are available:

- 1. The Operator may submit a written statement to the Director indicating that corrective measures have achieved compliance; or
- 2. The Operator may submit a written plan of action to the Director outlining the corrective measures that will be taken to achieve compliance and when compliance is anticipated.

If the corrective measures that the Operator presents or proposes are acceptable to the Director, the violation may be cleared at this point. Such acceptance shall be verified by written statement issued by the Director following a re-inspection of the operator's facilities.

If the proposed solution as outlined is not satisfactory to the Director, the violation shall be referred to the Commission for formal resolution in either of the following manners:

- 1. The Commission may seek an injunction or mandamus in superior court in cases where immediate action is necessary; or
- 2. The Commission may issue a show cause order and/or schedule a hearing requiring the operator to demonstrate why the operator should not be subject to the penalties set forth by O.C.G.A. §46-2-91.

#### B. Notice of Probable Violation with a Proposed Civil Penalty

The Operator may request an informal conference with the Director and/or her Staff to discuss the NOPV and proposed civil penalty. The violation and/or proposed civil penalty may be resolved at this stage; however, if agreement cannot be reached, enforcement procedures shall continue. If you chose not to seek an informal conference, the following due process options are available:

If you are not contesting the violation alleged or the proposed civil penalty, submit a written
response notifying the Director of your desire to settle this matter by paying the
recommended proposed civil penalty. Upon such notification, you will be provided with a
Consent Agreement that shall be fully executed by the Operator, and submitted along with a

certified check for the full amount of the recommended civil penalty and made payable to the Georgia Public Service Commission. You must ensure that the Operator's name and the applicable Docket No. and Inspection Report No. are included in the "memo" line of the certified check. The certified check shall be mailed to:

Ms. Michelle Thebert, Director Facilities Protection Unit Georgia Public Service Commission 244 Washington Street Atlanta, Georgia 30334

2. If you are not contesting the violation alleged, but are contesting the proposed civil penalty, and wish to submit written explanations, information, or other materials you believe warrant mitigation of the civil penalty, you may submit such materials. The Director will review the materials and provide the operator with a draft Consent Agreement that will represent the Staff's initial settlement offer. You must either sign the draft Consent Agreement or submit a counter-offer back to the Staff within thirty (30) days. Please refer to Commission Rule 515-9-3-.011, for the factors that the Commission considers regarding the assessment of civil penalties.

If an agreement cannot be reached, the alleged violation and proposed civil penalty shall be referred to the Commission for formal resolution in either of the following manners:

- 1. The Commission may seek an injunction or mandamus in superior court in cases where immediate action is necessary; or
- 2. The Commission may issue a show cause order and/or schedule a hearing requiring the operator to demonstrate why the operator should not be subject to the penalties set forth by O.C.G.A. §46-2-91.
- 3. If you are contesting both the alleged violation and the proposed civil penalty, you may request a hearing before the Commission. Please see *Procedures for Requesting a Hearing* below.

#### C. Notice of Amendment (NOA)

The Operator may request an informal conference with the Director and/or her Staff to discuss the NOA. If you chose not to seek an informal conference, the following due process options are available:

- 1. If you are not contesting the NOA, you must submit to the Director the measures taken or of your plan(s) to address the observed deficiencies identified in the NOA. If the corrective measures that you present, or propose, are acceptable to the Director, the violation may be cleared this point. Such acceptance shall be verified by written statement issued by the Director following a re-inspection of the operator's facilities;
- If you are not contesting the NOA, but you wish to submit written explanations, information, or other materials believed to warrant modification of the NOA in whole or in part, or if you are seeking clarification of the terms of the NOA, you may submit such materials; or
- 3. If you wish to contest the NOA, you must submit written explanations, information, or other materials in answer to the allegations in the NOA stating your reasons for objecting to the NOA, in whole or in part. If the information provided is acceptable to the Director, the issue may be closed at this point. Such acceptance shall be verified by written

statement issued by the Director. If the information provided is not acceptable to the Director, then the Operator will be given the option of an Informal Conference with the Director or the case will be referred to the Commission for a formal resolution on the contested issue.

#### D. Continuing and/or Existing Violations

Continuing and/or existing violations that were not cleared as a result of the current inspection must be addressed in the response letter. The Operator shall provide the current status, updates, expected completion dates, proposed modifications, etc., of the continuing and/or existing violations in each response letter, even if this information was provided in a previously filed response letter. Failure to provide this information may result in formal interrogatories from the Director through data requests. Please Note: Referencing a previously filed response letter does not meet the intent of this provision.

### III. Procedures for Requesting a Hearing

The Operator has the right to request a hearing to contest the alleged probable violations, recommended civil penalties, and all other proposed actions of enforcement. A request for a hearing must be submitted in writing and in accordance with Commission Rule 515-2-1-.04. The Operator must include a statement of the issues that you intend to raise at the hearing. The issues may relate to the allegations, new information, proposed compliance order, proposed civil penalty, or any other recommendation for enforcement action. Please refer to Commission Rule 515-9-3-.11 and O.C.G.A. § 46-2-91 for assessment considerations upon which civil penalties are based. An operator's failure to specify an issue may result in a waiver of the right to raise that issue at hearing. Your request must also indicate whether or not you will be represented by counsel at the hearing.

You are advised that any material provided to the Commission, and all materials prepared by the Commission, including the Notice of Probable Violations and any Orders issued in this case, may be considered public information and subject to disclosure under the Georgia Open Records Act (O.C.G.A. § 50-18-70 et seq.).

If you believe that any portion of your response material is security sensitive, privileged, confidential or may cause your company competitive disadvantages and would qualify for protection under the Commission's "Trade Secret Rule" (Commission Rule 515-3-1-.11), you must, along with the complete original document clearly marked "TRADE SECRET" on each page, provide a second copy of the document with the portions you believe qualify for trade secret treatment redacted, and an explanation of why you believe the redacted information qualifies for such trade secret treatment. Should the Commission receive a request for disclosure of any "TRADE SECRET" material, you will be notified, if after review, the materials and your provided justification are deemed not to meet any exemptions provided in the Georgia Open Records Act. You may appeal the Commission's decision to release material at that time. Your appeal will stay the release of those materials until a final decision is made.

## BEFORE THE GEORGIA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION STATE OF GEORGIA

IN THE MATTER OF:	)	
No Violation	)	DOCKET NO. 37079
City of Royston Gas Department	)	5001121110101010
Inspection JJ19-061 on November 25, 2019	)	
	)	

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that the *No Violation*, *City of Royston Gas Department, Inspection JJ19-061 on November 25, 2019* was filed with the Commission's Executive Secretary in the above-styled Docket, and a copy of same was served upon all parties and persons listed below via electronic mail as indicated by an asterisk. I further certify that the *City of Royston Gas Department* was served a hard copy of the above-stated document by U.S. Mail, certified/return receipt requested.

\*Reece McAlister, Executive Secretary Georgia Public Service Commission 244 Washington Street, SW Atlanta, GA 30334 \*Mr. Edward Andrews, City Manager City of Royston Gas Department 684 Franklin Springs Street Royston, GA 30662 citymanager@cityofroyston.com

\*Michelle Thebert, Facilities Protection Unit Director Georgia Public Service Commission 244 Washington Street, SW Atlanta, GA 30334

Mr. David Pressley City of Royston Gas Department 684 Franklin Springs Street Royston, GA 30662 royston605@hotmail.com

Respectfully Submitted this 29th day of January 2020:

Cathy Nesbitt, Administrative Assistant Georgia Public Service Commission

Facilities Protection Unit 244 Washington Street, SW

Atlanta, GA 30334